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Concentration of Measure

Distributional tail bounds can be provided in cases where exact computation is intractable.

Concentration of measure results can provide exponentially decaying bounds with explicit constants.
Concentration of Measure

Distributional tail bounds can be provided in cases where exact computation is intractable.

Concentration of measure results can provide exponentially decaying bounds with explicit constants.
Azuma Hoeffding Inequality

If $Y_k$, $k = 0, 1 \ldots, n$ is a martingale satisfying $|Y_k - Y_{k-1}| \leq c_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ with constants $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, then

$$P \left( |Y_n - Y_0| \geq t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( - \frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k^2} \right)$$

Handles dependence, requires martingale, some boundedness.
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Longest Common Subsequence Problem

Let $L_{m,n}(X_1, \ldots, X_m, X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_n)$ be the length of the longest common subsequence between two, say, i.i.d. sequences of length $m$ and $n - m$ from some discrete alphabet.

Using $Y_k = E[L_{m,n}|X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ is a martingale satisfying $|Y_{k-1} - Y_k| \leq 1$ one attains the two sided tail bound $2 \exp \left( -t^2 / 2n \right)$.

Though the distribution of $L_{m,n}$ is intractable (even the constant $c = \lim_{m \to \infty} L_{m,m}/2m$ is famously unknown), much can be said about its tails.
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Talagrand Isoperimetric Inequality

Suppose $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a function on vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that there exists weight functions $\alpha_i(x)$ such that

$$L(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \leq L(y_1, \ldots, y_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x) \mathbf{1}(x_i \neq y_i)$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)^2 \leq c$ for some constant $c$. Then for $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, i.i.d. $\mathcal{U}([0, 1]^d)$,

$$P \left( |L(X_1, \ldots, X_n) - M_n| \geq t \right) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4c^2}\right)$$

where $M_n$ is the median of $L(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

Applications: Steiner Tree, Travelling Salesman Problem.
Need to construct weights $\alpha_i(x)$. 
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Use of Stein’s Method Couplings

• Stein’s method developed for distributional approximation (Normal, Poisson) through use of characterizing equations.
• Implementation of the method often involves coupling constructions, with the quality of the resulting bounds reflecting the closeness of the coupling.
• Such couplings can be thought of as a type of distributional perturbation that measures dependence.
• Concentration of measure results should hold under similar sets of favorable conditions.
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Stein’s Method and Concentration Inequalities

- Raič (2007) applies the Stein equation to obtain Cramér type moderate deviations relative to the normal for some graph related statistics.
- Chatterjee (2007) derives tail bounds for Hoeffding’s combinatorial CLT and the net magnetization in the Curie-Weiss model from statistical physics based on Stein’s exchangeable pair coupling.
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Size Bias Couplings

For a nonnegative random variable $Y$ with finite nonzero mean $\mu$, we say that $Y^s$ has the $Y$-size bias distribution if

$$E[Yf(Y)] = \mu E[f(Y^s)] \quad \text{for all smooth } f.$$

Size biasing may appear, undesirably, in sampling. If $X$ is a nontrival indicator, $X^s = 1$. For sums of independent variables, size biasing a single summand size biases the sum. The closeness of a coupling of a sum $Y$ to $Y^s$ is a type of perturbation that measures the dependence in the summands of $Y$.

In Stein’s method, Baldi, Rinott, Stein (1981), Goldstein and Rinott (1996).
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Bounded Coupling implies Concentration Inequality

Let $Y$ be a nonnegative random variable with mean and variance $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$ respectively, both finite and positive. Suppose there exists a coupling of $Y$ to a variable $Y^s$ having the $Y$-size bias distribution that satisfies $|Y^s - Y| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ with probability one. Let $A = C\mu/\sigma^2$ and $B = C/2\sigma$.

a) If $Y^s \geq Y$ with probability one, then

$$P\left(\frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \leq -t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2A}\right) \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

b) If the moment generating function of $Y$ is finite at $2/C$, then

$$P\left(\frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2(A + Bt)}\right) \text{ for all } t > 0.$$
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Outline of Proof

By the convexity of the exponential function, for all $x \neq y$,

$$
\frac{e^y - e^x}{y - x} = \int_0^1 e^{ty+(1-t)x} \, dt \leq \int_0^1 (te^y + (1 - t)e^x) \, dt = \frac{e^y + e^x}{2}.
$$

Hence, when $|Y^s - Y| \leq C$, we obtain

$$
Ee^{\theta Y^s} - Ee^{\theta Y} \leq \frac{C\theta}{2} \left( Ee^{\theta Y^s} + Ee^{\theta Y} \right).
$$

With $m(\theta) = Ee^{\theta Y}$, the size bias relation yields

$$
m'(\theta) = E[Ye^{\theta Y}] = \mu E[e^{\theta Y^s}].
$$

Hence $m(\theta)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
m'(\theta) \leq \mu \left( \frac{1 + C\theta/2}{1 - C\theta/2} \right) m(\theta) \quad \text{for all } 0 < \theta < 2/C.
$$
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Size Bias Sum of Exchangeable Indicators

Let \((X_1, \ldots, X_n)\) be exchangeable indicators, for for each \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) suppose that

\[
\mathcal{L}(X_1^i, \ldots, X_n^i) = \mathcal{L}(X_1, \ldots, X_n | X_i = 1).
\]

Then \(W^i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j^i\) has the \(W\)-size bias distribution, as does \(W^I\) where \(I\) is a random index independent of all other variables.
Exchangeable Indicators

For a given function $f$, 

$$E[Wf(W)] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E[X_j f(W)] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P[X_j = 1]E[f(W)|X_j = 1].$$

As exchangeability implies that $E[f(W)|X_j = 1]$ does not depend on $j$, we have

$$E[Wf(W)] = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} P[X_j = 1]\right) E[f(W)|X_i = 1] = E[W]E[f(W^i)],$$
Applications

1. The number of local maxima of a random function on a graph
2. The number of lightbulbs switched on at the terminal time in the lightbulb process of Rao, Rao and Zhang
3. The number of urns containing exactly one ball in the uniform multinomial urn occupancy model
4. The number of relatively ordered subsequences of a random permutation
5. Sliding window statistics such as the number of $m$-runs in a sequence of independent coin tosses
6. The volume covered by the union of $n$ balls placed uniformly over a volume $n$ subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$
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Local Maxima on Graphs

Let $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a given graph, and for every $v \in \mathcal{V}$ let $\mathcal{V}_v \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the neighbors of $v$, with $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\{ C_g, g \in \mathcal{V} \}$ be a collection of independent and identically distributed continuous random variables, and let $X_v$ be the indicator that vertex $v$ corresponds to a local maximum value with respect to the neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_v$, that is

$$X_v(C_w, w \in \mathcal{V}_v) = 1(C_v > C_w, w \in \mathcal{V}_v \setminus \{v\}), \quad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

The sum

$$Y = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} X_v$$

is the number of local maxima on $G$. 
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Size Biasing \( \{X_v, v \in \mathcal{V}\} \) in direction \( v \)

If \( X_v = 1 \), that is, if \( v \) is already a local maxima, let \( X^v = X \). Otherwise, interchange the value \( C_v \) at \( v \) with the value \( C_w \) at the vertex \( w \) that achieves the maximum \( C_u \) for \( u \in \mathcal{V}_v \), and let \( X^v \) be the indicators of local maxima on this new configuration. Then \( Y^s \), the number of local maxima on \( X^I \), where \( I \) is chosen proportional to \( EX_v \), has the \( Y \)-size bias distribution.

When \( I = v \), the values \( X_u \) for \( u \in \mathcal{V}_v \), and for \( u \in \mathcal{V}_w \) may change, and we have

\[
|Y^s - Y| \leq |\mathcal{V}_v(2)|
\]

where \( \mathcal{V}_v(2) \) are the neighbors, and the neighbors of neighbors of \( v \).
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Example: Local Maxima, $\mathbb{Z}^p \mod n$

For $p \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $n \geq 5$ let $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \ldots, n\}^p$ modulo $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^p$ and set $\mathcal{E} = \{\{v, w\} : \sum_{i=1}^{p} |v_i - w_i| = 1\}$. Then

$$|Y^s - Y| \leq 2p^2 + 2p + 1,$$

and $Y$ has mean and variance, respectively,

$$\mu = \frac{n}{2p + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = n\left(\frac{4p^2 - p - 1}{(2p + 1)^2(4p + 1)}\right).$$

Right tail concentration inequality holds with

$$A = \frac{(2p + 1)(4p + 1)(2p^2 + 2p + 1)}{4p^2 - p - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \frac{2p^2 + 2p + 1}{2\sigma}. $$
The ‘lightbulb process’ of Rao, Rao and Zhang arises in a pharmaceutical study of dermal patches. Consider \( n \) lightbulbs, each operated by a toggle switch. At day zero, all the bulbs are off. At day \( r \) for \( r = 1, \ldots, n \), the position of \( r \) of the \( n \) switches are selected uniformly to be changed, independent of the past. One is interested in studying the distribution of \( Y \), the number of lightbulbs on at the terminal time \( n \).
The Lightbulb Process

For $r = 1, \ldots, n$, let $Y_r = \{Y_{rk}, k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ have distribution

$$P(Y_{r1} = e_1, \ldots, Y_{rn} = e_n) = \binom{n}{r}^{-1} e_k \in \{0, 1\}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_k = r,$$

and let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ be independent. The ‘switch variable’ $Y_{rk}$ indicates whether or not on day $r$ bulb $k$ has its status changed. Hence

$$Y_k = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n} Y_{rk} \right) \mod 2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k$$

indicate the status of bulb $k$ at time $n$, and the total number of bulbs switched on at the terminal time, respectively.
Lightbulb Coupling to achieve $Y^i$: $n$ even

If $Y_i = 1$, that is, if bulb $i$ is on, let $Y^i = Y$. Otherwise, with $J^i$ uniform over $\{j : Y_{n/2,j} = 1 - Y_{n/2,i}\}$, let

\[
Y^i_{rk} = \begin{cases} 
Y_{rk} & r \neq n/2 \\
Y_{n/2,k} & r = n/2, k \notin \{i, J^i\} \\
Y_{n/2,J^i} & r = n/2, k = i \\
Y_{n/2,i} & r = n/2, k = J^i.
\end{cases}
\]

In other words, when bulb $i$ is off, select a bulb whose switch variable on day $n/2$ is opposite to that of the switch variable of $i$ on that day, and interchange them.

Achieves a bounded, monotone coupling:

\[
Y^s - Y = 21\{Y_i=0, Y_{J^i}=0\}
\]
Lightbulb Coupling to achieve $Y^i$: $n$ even

If $Y_i = 1$, that is, if bulb $i$ is on, let $Y^i = Y$. Otherwise, with $J^i$ uniform over $\{j : Y_{n/2,j} = 1 - Y_{n/2,i}\}$, let
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$$Y^s - Y = 21\{Y_i=0, Y_{J^i}=0\}$$
Concentration for Lightbulb: \( n \) even

For \( Y \) the number of bulbs on at the terminal time \( n \) of the lightbulb process

\[
EY = \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(Y) = (n/4)(1 + O(e^{-n})).
\]

Then using \( 0 \leq Y^s - Y \leq 2 \), with \( A = n/\sigma^2 = 4(1 + O(e^{-n}) \) and \( B = 1/\sigma = O(n^{-1/2}) \), we obtain for all \( t > 0 \),

\[
P\left( \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \leq -t \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2A} \right)
\]

and

\[
P\left( \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \geq t \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2(A + Bt)} \right).
\]
Concentration for Lightbulb: $n$ even

For $Y$ the number of bulbs on at the terminal time $n$ of the lightbulb process

$$EY = n/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(Y) = (n/4)(1 + O(e^{-n})).$$

Then using $0 \leq Y^s - Y \leq 2$, with $A = n/\sigma^2 = 4(1 + O(e^{-n})$ and $B = 1/\sigma = O(n^{-1/2})$, we obtain for all $t > 0$,

$$P \left( \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \leq -t \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2A} \right)$$

and

$$P \left( \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} \geq t \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{2(A + Bt)} \right).$$
Concentration for Lightbulb: $n$ odd

- Similar results hold for the odd case, though the argument is a bit trickier.
- Using randomization in the ‘two middle’ stages, one first couples $Y$ to a more symmetric variable $V$.
- When selected bulb $I$ is off, $V$ is coupled to $V^s$ by randomizing between flipping a middle stage bit, when possible, and interchanging bits as in the even case.
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- Similar results hold for the odd case, though the argument is a bit trickier.
- Using randomization in the ‘two middle’ stages, one first couples \( Y \) to a more symmetric variable \( V \).
- When selected bulb \( I \) is off, \( V \) is coupled to \( V^s \) by randomizing between flipping a middle stage bit, when possible, and interchanging bits as in the even case.
Number of Non-Isolated Balls under Uniform Allocation

- Say $n$ balls are thrown independently into one of $m$ equally likely urns. For $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ consider the number of urns containing $d$ balls; $d = 0$ is a particularly well studied special case. The case $d = 1$ corresponds to the number of isolated balls; equivalently one can study the number $Y$ of non-isolated balls.

- Easy to construct an unbounded size bias coupling – import or export balls from a uniformly chosen urn so that it has the desired occupancy.

- A construction of Penrose and Goldstein yields a coupling of $Y$ to $Y^s$ satisfying $|Y^s - Y| \leq 2$. 
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Non-Isolated Balls, Coupling

With $X_i$ the location of ball $i = 1, \ldots, n$, first select balls $I \neq J$, uniformly from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, and independently of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. With $M_i$ the number of balls in the urn containing ball $i$, and $N \sim \text{Bin}(1/m, n-1)$, import ball $J$ into the urn containing ball $I$ with probability $\pi_{M_i}$, where

$$
\pi_k = \begin{cases} 
\frac{P(N>k|N>0) - P(N>k)}{P(N=k)(1-k/(n-1))} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n-2 \\
0 & \text{if } k = n-1.
\end{cases}
$$

We have $|Y^s - Y| \leq 2$, as at most the occupancy of two urns can affected by the movement of a single ball. Can check also that $\pi_0 = 1$, so if ball $I$ is isolated we always move ball $J$ to urn $X_I$.
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Non-Isolated Balls, Concentration

For positive functions $f$ and $h$ depending on $n$ write $f \asymp h$ when $\lim_{n \to \infty} f/h = 1$.

If $m$ and $n$ both go to infinity such that $n/m \to \alpha \in (0, \infty)$, then with $g(\alpha)^2 = e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1) > 0$, the mean and variance of $Y$ satisfy

$$\mu \asymp n(1 - e^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 \asymp ng(\alpha)^2.$$ 

Hence, in this asymptotic $Y$ satisfies the right tail concentration inequality with constants $A$ and $B$ satisfying

$$A \asymp \frac{2(1 - e^{-\alpha})}{e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad B \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{ng(\alpha)}}.$$
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Summary

Concentration of measure results can provide exponential tail bounds on complicated distributions.

Some concentration of measure results exploit some explicit dependence structure such as martingales.

Size bias couplings, or perturbations, measure departures from independence. Close, that is, bounded couplings imply concentration of measure, and central limit behavior.

Unbounded couplings can also be handled but seemingly yet only on a case by case basis – e.g., the number of isolated vertices in the Erdös-Rényi random graph (Ghosh and Goldstein).
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