Gaussian Phase Transitions and Conic Intrinsic Volumes: Steining the Steiner formula
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Ingredients

• Convex Geometry: Angular version of the classical Steiner formula for expansion of compact convex sets reveal the ‘intrinsic volume’ distributions associated with closed convex cones.

• Compressed sensing: Recovery of high dimensional unknowns under structural assumptions such as sparsity for vectors or a low rank condition, for matrices.

• Gaussian inequalities and Stein’s Method: For providing finite sample bounds on Gaussian fluctuations.
Subject of this work was motivated by the papers by Amelunxen, Lotz, McCoy and Tropp [AMLT13] and McCoy and Tropp [MT14], where the conic intrinsic volume distributions were studied using Poincaré and log Sobolev inequalities.

In particular it was shown that these distributions exhibit concentration phenomenon.

Raises the possibility that one might make use of other Gaussian inequalities that may be lurking about in the background.
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Concentration of Conic Intrinsic Volumes
From: Living on the Edge [ALMT13]

Figure 2.2: Concentration of conic intrinsic volumes. This plot displays the conic intrinsic volumes $v_k(C)$ of a circular cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{128}$ with angle $\pi/6$. The distribution concentrates sharply around the statistical dimension $\delta(C) \approx 32.5$. See Section 3.4 for further discussion of this example.
Convex Geometry

For any closed convex set $K$, let

$$d(x, K) = \inf_{y \in K} \|x - y\|.$$

Classical Steiner formula (1840) for the expansion of a compact convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, with $B_j$ the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^j$,

$$\text{Vol}_d\{x : d(x, K) \leq \lambda\} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \lambda^{d-j} \text{Vol}(B_{d-j}) \nu_j.$$

Intrinsic volumes, $\nu_d$ is volume, $2\nu_{d-1}$ is surface area ... and $\nu_0$ is Euler characteristic.
The set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cone if $\tau(x + y) \in C$ for all $\{x, y\} \subset C$ and $\tau > 0$.

With $S^{j-1}$ unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^j$, one has the angular analog, Hergoltz (1943),

$$\text{Vol}_{d-1} \left\{ x \in S^{d-1} : d^2(x, C) \leq \lambda \right\} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \beta_{j,d}(\lambda)v_j$$

The numbers $v_0, \ldots, v_d$ are the conic intrinsic volumes, and are non negative and sum to 1.

Can associate a distribution $\mathcal{L}(V)$ given by $P(V = j) = v_j$ to the cone $C$, also write $V_C$. 
Recovery of Structured Unknowns

Observe (a small number) $m$ of random linear combinations of an unknown $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (in high dimension),

$$ z = Ax_0 \quad \text{for } A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \text{ known, with i.i.d. } \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text{ entries.}$$

Unknown $x_0$ lies in the feasible region

$$ F = \{ x : Ax = z \} = \text{Null}(A) + x_0. $$

With $m < d$ not possible to recover $x_0$. Say $x_0$ is sparse, has some small number $s$ of non-zero entries, $\|x_0\|_0 = s$.

Minimizing $\|x\|_0$ over $x \in F$ is computationally infeasible.
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Convex Program

Determine a convex function $f(x)$ that promotes the known structure of $x_0$, and

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \text{ over } x \in F.$$  

Chandrasekaran, Recht, Parrilo, and Willsky (2012) show how to construct $f$ for some given structure.

To promote sparsity let $f(x) = \|x\|_1$, the $L^1$ norm, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_i|$.


Why does it work?
Descent Cone

For \( f(x) = \|x\|_1 \) and \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \), let

\[
\mathcal{D}(f, x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists \tau > 0, \|x_0 + \tau y\|_1 \leq \|x_0\|_1\}.
\]

The set of all directions from which, starting at \( x_0 \), do not increase the \( L^1 \) norm.
Convex recovery of unknowns
From: Living on the Edge [ALMT13]

**Figure 2.3:** The optimality condition for a regularized inverse problem. The condition for the regularized linear inverse problem (2.4) to succeed requires that the descent cone $\mathcal{D}(f, x_0)$ and the null space $\text{null}(A)$ do not share a ray. [left] The regularized linear inverse problem succeeds. [right] The regularized linear inverse problem fails.
Convex recovery of sparse unknowns

So, translating by $x_0$, success if and only if

$$\text{Null}(A) \cap C = \{0\}$$

where $C$ is the descent cone of the $L^1$ norm at $x_0$.
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Descent cone of the $L^1$ norm at a sparse vector
Convex recovery of sparse unknowns

Translating by $x_0$, success if and only if

$$\text{Null}(A) \cap C = \{0\}$$

where $C$ is the descent cone of the $L^1$ norm at $x_0$.

We know $\text{dim}(\text{Null}(A)) = d - m$. So if the ‘dimension of $C$’ were $\delta(C)$, we would want

$$d - m + \delta(C) \leq d \quad \text{so} \quad m \geq \delta(C).$$

Statistical Dimension of $C$ is $\delta(C) = E[V_C]$. Recovery success probability $p$ sandwiched

$$P(V \leq m - 1) \leq p \leq P(V \leq m).$$

Concentration would imply sharp transition, threshold phenomenon.
Metric Projection

For $K$ any closed convex set, the infimum

$$d(x, K) = \inf_{y \in K} \| x - y \|,$$

is attained at a unique vector, called the metric projection of $x$ onto $K$, denoted by $\Pi_K(x)$. 
Gaussian Connection

The cone $C$ is polyhedral if there exists an integer $N$ and vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_N$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$C = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle u_i, x \rangle \geq 0 \}.$$ 

For $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, the conic intrinsic volumes satisfy

$$\nu_j = P(\Pi_C(g) \text{ lies in the relative interior of a } j\text{-dimensional face of } C)$$

Consider the orthant $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. 

Cone $C$ is like a subspace of random dimension $V$, hence with ‘statistical dimension’ $\delta(C) = E[V_C]$. 


'Master Steiner Formula' [MT14]

Implies that with $X_i$ independent $\chi_1^2$ random variables,

$$\|\Pi_C(g)\|^2 = d \sum_{j=0}^{V_C} X_i.$$ 

Letting $G_C = \|\Pi_C(g)\|^2$,

$$G_C = d \sum_{j=0}^{V_C} X_i = \sum_{j=0}^{V_C} (X_i - 1) + V_C,$$

so recalling $\delta_C = E[V_C]$ and letting $\tau_C^2 = \text{Var}(V_C)$, we see

$$E[G_C] = \delta_C \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(G_C) = 2\delta_C + \tau_C^2$$
Master Steiner Formula [MT14]

Relation

\[ G_C = d \sum_{j=0}^{V_C} X_i \quad \text{for} \quad G_C = \| \Pi_C(g) \|^2 \]

yields (strange) moment generating function identity

\[ E e^{tV} = E e^{\xi_t G} \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_t = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - e^{-2t} \right). \]
Concentration [MT14]

From

$$E e^{tV} = E e^{\xi_t G} \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_t = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2t}),$$

and the fact that $G = \|\Pi_C(g)\|^2$, use log Sobolev inequality to obtain bound on its moment generating function.

Translate into bound on moment generating function for $V_C$ to show concentration around $\delta_C$, implying phase transition for exact recovery.
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Normal Fluctuations

Standardizing

\[ G_C = d \sum_{j=0}^{V_C} (X_i - 1) + V_C = W_C + V_C \]

yields

\[ \frac{G_C - \delta_C}{\sigma_C} = d \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\delta_C}}{\sigma_C} \right) \frac{W_C}{\sqrt{2\delta_C}} + \left( \frac{\tau_C}{\sigma_C} \right) \frac{V_C - \delta_C}{\tau_C}. \]

Standardized \( G_C \) is asymptotically normal and expressions on the right hand side are asymptotically independent. Apply Cramér’s theorem. Can derive lower bounds for \( \tau_C \) in terms of \( \|E[\Pi_C(g)]\| \), the ‘statistical center’.
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Toward the $2^{nd}$ order Poincaré Inequality, apply to $G_C$

$1^{st}$: Poincaré Inequality. If $W(g)$ is a smooth real valued function of $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, then

$$\text{Var}(W(g)) \leq E \| \nabla W(g) \|^2.$$ 

e.g.,

$$\sigma_C^2 = \text{Var} \left( \| \Pi_C(g) \|^2 \right) \leq E \| 2 \Pi_C(g) \|^2 = 4\delta_C.$$
Poincaré Inequality, proof sketch

Take $E[W] = 0$, $\varphi$ a smooth function, $\hat{g}$ an independent copy of $g$, and consider

$$E[W \varphi(W)] = E[(W(g) - W(\hat{g})) \varphi(W(g))]$$
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Take $E[W] = 0$, $\varphi$ a smooth function, $\hat{g}$ an independent copy of $g$, and let $\hat{g}_t = e^{-t}g + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\hat{g}$

\[
E[W\varphi(W)] = E[(W(\hat{g}_0) - W(\hat{g}_\infty)) \varphi(W(\hat{g}_0))] \\
= -\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} E[W(\hat{g}_t) \varphi(W(\hat{g}_0))] dt \\
= \cdots = E[T \varphi'(W(g))],
\]

\[
T = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \langle \nabla W(g), \hat{E}(\nabla W(\hat{g}_t)) \rangle dt.
\]

Take $\varphi(x) = x$, obtain $\text{Var}(W) = E[T]$, use Cauchy Schwarz.
Stein’s Method

Stein’s method is based on an equation characterizing the target distribution.

Stein’s lemma: $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ if and only if

$$E[Z \varphi(Z)] = E[\varphi'(Z)]$$

for all absolutely continuous functions for which these expectations exist.

For test function $h$, solve the Stein equation

$$\varphi'(w) - w\varphi(w) = h(w) - Eh(Z)$$

for $\varphi$, evaluate at $W$, variable whose distribution is to be approximated, and bound expectation

$$Eh(W) - Eh(Z) = E[\varphi'(W) - W\varphi(W)]$$
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2\textsuperscript{nd} order Poincaré inequality

Recall from proof of Poincaré inequality, where $E[W] = 0$ and $W = W(g)$,

$$E[W\varphi(W)] = E[T\varphi'(W)]$$

with $T = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t}\langle\nabla W(g), \hat{E}(\nabla W(\hat{g}_t))\rangle dt$.

Chatterjee (2009), for this $T$ and $\text{Var}(W) = 1$ we have

$$d_{TV}(W, Z) \leq 2\sqrt{\text{Var}(T)}.$$

Variance might be difficult to evaluate directly, so use the Poincaré inequality.
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Gaussian Projections on Convex Cones

Want to obtain limiting normal law, with bounds, for
\[ G_C = \| \Pi_C(g) \|^2 \] in order to infer same for \( V_C \).

\[ \nabla \| \Pi_C(x) \|^2 = 2 \Pi_C(x) \quad \text{hence} \quad T = 4 \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \langle \Pi_C(g), \hat{E} \Pi_C(\hat{g}_t) \rangle dt \]

Use the Poincaré inequality to bound \( \text{Var}(T) \), and that
\[ \sigma^2_C = 2 \delta_C + \tau_C^2 \], to obtain

\[ d_{TV}(G_C, Z) \leq \frac{16 \sqrt{\delta(C)}}{\sigma^2_C} \leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{\delta(C)}}. \]
Berry-Esseen bound for conic intrinsic volumes

If \( C \) is a closed convex cone with \( \delta_C = E[V_C] \) and \( \tau_C^2 = \text{Var}(V_C) > 0 \), then with \( \alpha = \tau_C^2 / \delta_C \), for \( \delta_C \geq 8 \)

\[
\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left[ \frac{V_C - \delta_C}{\tau_C} \leq u \right] - P[Z \leq u] \right| \leq h(\delta_C) + \frac{48}{\sqrt{\alpha \log^+(\alpha \sqrt{2} \delta_C)}}
\]

where

\[
h(\delta_C) = \frac{1}{72} \left( \frac{\log \delta_C}{\delta_C^{3/16}} \right)^{5/2}
\]

For \( m \) about \( \delta_C + t\tau_C \), obtain bound on

\[
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \{ x_0 \text{ is recovered} \} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-u^2/2} \, du \right|
\]
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Ingredients

- Convex Geometry
- Compressed sensing
- Gaussian inequalities and Stein’s Method
  - Poincaré inequality – bound a variance by an expectation.
  - Log Sobolev inequality
  - $2^{nd}$ order Poincaré inequality – bound a total variation by a variance, which is bounded by an expectation.